(8)

Single Bench

In the Honble High Court of Judicature of Chhattisgarh at Bilaspur C.G.

W.P.No. 880 2005

P.R. No. 276/05
Presented by Shri Punaram Yadava
dated 02-03-05

Petitioner .....**Shri Punaram Yadava aged 63 years son of Shri Gendlal Yadava, retired Head Master, Neharu Primary School, Durg (C.G.) Tahsil And District Durg (C.G.)**

RECEIVED-COPY
23.03.05
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

Versus

Respondent ..... **1. The Accountant General. Chhattishgarh, Raipur.**

2. The State Government of Chhattishgarh, Through the Secretary, School Education Department Ministry, D.K.S.Bhavan, Raipur (C.G.)

Writ petition under articles 226/227 of the constitution of India.

XI-HC-78

(16)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 880/2005 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	16.03.2005 Shri Ajay Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Akhil Agrawal, Panel Lawyer for the State on advance copy. Heard on admission. The submission of learned counsel for the petitioner is that the amount of G.P.F. has not been paid to the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that for the present, this petition may be disposed of with a liberty to file a representation before the competent authority and the competent authority be directed to decide the same within the stipulated period granted by this Court. This limited prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner seems to be reasonable. There is no impediment in allowing such prayer at this stage even without hearing the other side. The prayer is allowed. The petitioner, if so directed, may file a detailed representation along-with a copy of this order and the copy of this petition before the competent authority within a period of 15 days	

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No. 880/2005 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश — 2 —	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

from today and if such a representation is filed, the competent authority shall decide the same in accordance with law, as early as possible preferably within 3 months from the date of filing of such representation. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case.

With the above observations, this petition stands finally disposed of at this stage.

Consequently, M.W.P. No. 715/2005 also stands disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
SUNIL KUMAR SINHA
Judge

Vatti

23.3.05
30.4.05